DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 25, 2000

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 00/01-24

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2000/01 COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING (CCL)

ALLOCATION FOR FOSTER FAMILY HOMES (FFH) AND FAMILY

CHILD CARE HOMES (FCCH)

The purpose of this letter is to provide counties with their FY 2000/01 CCL FFH and FCCH allocations. The Budget Act of 2000 has approved total federal, state, and county funds of \$12.9 million for FFH and \$3.2 million for FCCH.

Attachment I displays the distribution of the \$6,753,455 FFH State General Fund (SGF) allocation, Attachment II displays the \$471,545 FFH recruitment SGF allocation, and Attachment III displays the \$1,927,000 FCCH SGF and \$318,000 Federal augmentation allocations.

Individual FFH and FCCH program information and allocation methodology is as follows:

FFH Licensing and FFH Recruitment

To compute the FFH SGF allocation distribution, the county's average monthly FFH caseload for January 1999 through December 1999 was divided by the workload standards of 90 facilities per month per full-time equivalent (FTE). This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this category of facility. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.35:1 was applied to the justified staffing level and the total staffing level was then multiplied by the individual county's FY 1998/99 actual Social Services Worker (SSW) unit cost plus a cost-of-doing business increase of 4.09 percent to reflect the FY 1999/00 cost base.

For counties performing FFH licensing activities, individual county unit cost, caseload and federal usage rates were used to develop each county's allocation. An adjustment was made to guarantee counties a minimum allocation of \$5,000 (total funds).

FFH recruitment activities are reflected in the workload standard. For the counties that do not participate in the licensing program, the recruitment only funds were developed and distributed based on each county's average number of cases in Family Reunification and Permanent Placement for March 1999 through February 2000 and the number of children under 18 years of age using the most recent data from the Department of Finance.

Administrative costs, including staff development, reported on the County Expense Claim (CEC) are charged to Program Codes 155, 156 and 158 - Foster Family Licensing/Recruitment. Expenditures exceeding the SGF allocation will be transferred to county share using State Use Only (SUO) Code 192. At closeout, it is anticipated that any statewide surplus funds may be redistributed among those counties who overspent their allocations.

FCCH Licensing

To compute the FCCH SGF allocation distribution, the county's average monthly FCCH caseload for January 1999 through December 1999, was divided by the workload standard of 303 facilities per month per FTE. This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this category of facility. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.35:1 was applied to the justified staffing level and the total staffing level was then multiplied by the county's actual SSW unit cost plus a cost-of-doing business increase of 4.09 percent to reflect the FY 1999/00 cost base.

As in FY 1999/00, the California Department of Social Services has obtained additional federal grant funds via an interagency agreement with the California Department of Education. This federal augmentation to the FCCH program is available for licensing staff to perform comprehensive facility evaluations. Comprehensive visit protocols for FCCH have been developed and released as part of the update to the CCLD Evaluation Manual.

The augmentation was distributed to counties based on a percent to the statewide total of their average monthly FCCH caseload for the period of January 1999 through December 1999.

Administrative costs, including staff development, reported on the CEC are charged to Program Code 157 - Licensing-Family Day Care. SUO Codes 061 and 062 will be used to insure that 100 percent of the federal augmentation is expended before counties can access their SGF allocation. Expenditures exceeding the SGF allocation will be transferred to county share using SUO Code 153. At closeout, it is anticipated that any statewide surplus funds may be redistributed among those counties who overspent their allocations.

If you have any questions concerning this allocation, please contact your county analyst in the County Financial Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806.

Original Document Signed by Douglas D. Park on 7/25/00

DOUGLAS D. PARK, Chief Financial Planning Branch

Attachments

c: CWDA

FY 2000/01 STATE

	STATE	
COUNTY	GENERAL FUND	
ALAMEDA	\$340,776	
ALPINE		
AMADOR	\$0 \$0	
BUTTE	\$0 \$25,936	
CALAVERAS	\$35,836 \$0	
COLUSA	\$0 \$0	
CONTRA COSTA	\$286,454	
DEL NORTE	\$17,584	
EL DORADO	\$45,343	
FRESNO	\$266,025	
GLENN	\$8,996	
HUMBOLDT	\$0	
IMPERIAL	\$42,401	
INYO	\$13,481	
KERN	\$289,811	
KINGS	\$27,718	
LAKE	\$0	
LASSEN	\$0	
LOS ANGELES	\$0	
MADERA	\$0	
MARIN	\$72,516	
MARIPOSA	\$10,602	
MENDOCINO	\$130,237	
MERCED	\$49,676	
MODOC	\$0	
MONO	\$0	
MONTEREY	\$86,318	
NAPA	\$85,912	
NEVADA	\$0	
ORANGE	\$468,317	
PLACER PLUMAS	\$78,113	
RIVERSIDE	\$0 \$0	
SACRAMENTO	\$635,926	
SAN BENITO	\$3,094	
SAN BERNARDINO	\$538,347	
SAN DIEGO	\$1,036,765	
SAN FRANCISCO	\$228,072	
SAN JOAQUIN	\$182,781	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$85,978	
SAN MATEO	\$227,052	
SANTA BARBARA	\$73,031	
SANTA CLARA	\$387,287	
SANTA CRUZ	\$77,712	
SHASTA	\$103,478	
SIERRA	\$0	
SISKIYOU	\$2,879	
SOLANO	\$134,144	
SONOMA	\$157,561	
STANISLAUS	\$106,222	
SUTTER	\$40,092	
TEHAMA	\$28,295	
TRINITY	\$20,185	
TULARE	\$91,777	
TUOLOMNE	\$18,328	
VENTURA	\$141,905	
YOLO	\$39,326	
YUBA	\$37,102	

TOTAL \$6,753,455

FY 2000/01 STATE

	SIAIL
COUNTY	GENERAL FUND
ALPINE	\$47
AMADOR	\$780
COLUSA	\$705
HUMBOLDT	\$3,400
LAKE	\$1,909
LASSEN	\$825
LOS ANGELES	\$402,831
MADERA	\$4,005
MODOC	\$291
MONO	\$211
NEVADA	\$1,913
PLUMAS	\$438
RIVERSIDE	\$54,190
TOTAL	\$471,545

COUNTY	FY 2000/01 STATE GENERAL FUND	FEDERAL AUGMENTATION	TOTAL FY 2000/01 ALLOCATION
DEL NORTE	\$12,198	\$3,360	\$15,558
FRESNO	\$292,436	\$65,894	\$358,330
INYO	\$13,170	\$2,211	\$15,381
MARIN	\$138,018	\$23,412	\$161,430
MENDOCINO	\$80,760	\$11,693	\$92,454
SACRAMENTO	\$1,094,244	\$154,507	\$1,248,751
SANTA CRUZ	\$153,849	\$24,024	\$177,873
SUTTER	\$49,475	\$11,440	\$60,915
TEHAMA	\$22,275	\$5,480	\$27,755
YOLO	\$70,575	\$15,979	\$86,554
TOTAL	\$1,927,000	\$318,000	\$2,245,000